

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

About this consultation

Our Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole will set out a strategy for how much, where and what type of development will take place across the BCP area up to 2038. It will provide detailed planning policies and site allocations to guide change and new development, whilst taking account of climate change targets set by BCP Council and the government.

The [consultation document](#) explains the key issues for the Local Plan and either recommends the approach we think we should take or sets out options to address the issue. Where we think there is only one reasonable thing to do, we make a recommendation and provide a reason why. You can also view an interactive version of our consultation document [here](#).

How to fill in this survey

Please use this survey to give your views on our recommendations and options. You can select which topic areas you would like to complete questions on.

You can fill the survey in all at once, or if you prefer, you can save it by giving your email address and a link will be sent to you to continue completing the survey at a later stage. Your email will only be used for the purpose of sending you the link to your survey form.

If you have any questions or would like the survey in a different format, please email bcplocalplan@bcpcouncil.gov.uk. You can also refer to the [Frequently Asked Questions \(FAQs\)](#) on the main consultation page.

Please respond by **midnight on Friday 25 March 2022**.

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Privacy statement

All information you provide is treated in confidence and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018. The information will be used for statistical and monitoring purposes. It will not be used to identify individuals apart from those instances where you give us consent to contact you using the personal details you have provided us with. You can find out more about how the Research and Consultation Team use and store your data in our privacy policy bcpcouncil.gov.uk/privacy.

About you

How are you responding to this consultation?

Please select one box only.

- As a resident living in Bournemouth, Christchurch or Poole
- As a resident living outside of Bournemouth, Christchurch or Poole
- As an agent (please give the name of your organisation / client's name in the box below)
- As a developer/landowner
- On behalf of an organisation/association (please give the name of the organisation in the box below)
- As a member of a Town or Parish Council (please give the name of the council in the box below)
- As a BCP Councillor
- Other (please specify in the box below)

Please tell us which organisation you are responding on behalf of.

Throop and Holdenhurst Village Council

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole

Issues and options consultation

Vision and objectives

Please read [Section 3 'Vision and objectives'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Our vision

Our vision is linked to our corporate vision set out in [Our Big Plan](#):

We aim for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole to be the UK's newest city region, brimming with prospects, positivity and pride.

To what extent do you agree or disagree that this is the right vision for the Local Plan?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree



Agree



Neither agree nor disagree



Disagree



Strongly disagree



Don't know



If you disagree or strongly disagree with the vision, please explain why briefly in the box below.

We disagree on the basis that becoming a city we could be subsumed into a greater conurbation, it is important that historic village locations (conservation areas, greenbelt and historic landscapes) are maintained. We agree very much that the BCP area should be brimming with prospects, positivity and pride as these are very much values that we hold dear to protecting our Parish.

?

Our proposed objectives

Following on from our Vision, we have ten proposed objectives.

Below is a list of all the issues covered under the ten key objectives:

Regenerating our town centres

Regenerating our town centres and enabling vibrant local communities.

New market and affordable homes

The number of homes we need; where new homes could be built; affordable housing; custom self-build housing; providing the right mix and type of homes; homes for older people and those with disabilities; student accommodation; and gypsies & travellers.

A prosperous economy

Bringing forward strategic employment sites; protecting existing employment areas; isolated employment areas; visitor accommodation; and visitor attractions.

Adapting our high streets and retail areas

Our needs for shopping and other town centre uses; town centre boundaries and primary shopping areas; sequential tests and impact assessments; and vibrant centres.

Providing a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network

Our future transport strategy; transport infrastructure; and transport impacts from new development.

Our natural environment

Conserving and enhancing biodiversity and geodiversity; protecting Dorset Heathlands and mitigating development impacts; provision of Strategic Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGS); improving the air quality on the Dorset heathlands; dealing with Poole Harbour nitrate pollution; dealing with Poole Harbour recreational pressures; and supporting green infrastructure and open space.

Our built environment

Ensuring good placemaking and urban design; planning for urban intensification which could specifically affect 26 areas; tall buildings; preserving and enhancing heritage; and preserving coastal and landscape character.

Promoting health and wellbeing

Supporting health and wellbeing; and ensuring a high standard of amenity.

Tackling climate change

Ensuring new development will be built to reduce energy use and minimise carbon emissions; maximising the uptake of energy from renewable sources; and flood and coastal erosion risk management.

Providing the infrastructure that supports development

Delivering the infrastructure to support growth; balancing the delivery of infrastructure with viable development; telecommunications and digital infrastructure provision; and community facilities and services.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the ten objectives that we propose to include in the Local Plan?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Regenerate our town centres and network of vibrant communities	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Provide a sufficient supply of new market and affordable homes to meet the different needs of our communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Support economic growth, the creation of jobs and the offer to visitors	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Adapt our high streets and shopping areas to cater for changing retail demands	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Provide a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network, with a step change in active travel behaviour, ensuring the necessary transport infrastructure is in place to make it easy for everyone to get around	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Conserve and enhance our protected habitats and biodiversity, and our network of green infrastructure and open spaces	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g) Promote local character and the delivery of high quality urban design	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h) Improve health and wellbeing and contribute towards reducing inequalities	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i) Work towards achieving carbon neutrality ahead of 2050 and inspire action to combat the climate and ecological emergency	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j) Deliver the infrastructure needed to support development, local communities and businesses	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you disagree or strongly disagree with any of the objectives, please explain why briefly in the box below.

Please use the appropriate letter (a to j) to show which objective you are referring to.

?

Please tell us which of the objectives are most important to you by selecting your top 5 below.

Select five boxes only.

- a) Regenerate our town centres and network of vibrant communities
- b) Provide a sufficient supply of new market and affordable homes to meet the different needs of our communities
- c) Support economic growth, the creation of jobs and the offer to visitors
- d) Adapt our high streets and shopping areas to cater for changing retail demands
- e) Provide a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network, with a step change in active travel behaviour, ensuring the necessary transport infrastructure is in place to make it easy for everyone to get around
- f) Conserve and enhance our protected habitats and biodiversity, and our network of green infrastructure and open spaces
- g) Promote local character and the delivery of high quality urban design
- h) Improve health and wellbeing and contribute towards reducing inequalities
- i) Work towards achieving carbon neutrality ahead of 2050 and inspire action to combat the climate and ecological emergency
- j) Deliver the infrastructure needed to support development, local communities and businesses

**A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole
Issues and options consultation**

Choosing the objectives that interest you

The Local Plan is split into ten topic sections, one for each of the proposed objectives in the Local Plan. In responding to the consultation, you can give your views on each topic or select those that are of interest to you.

If you prefer, you can save your survey by giving your email address and a link will be sent to you to continue completing the form at a later stage. Your email will only be used for the purpose of sending you the link to your survey form.

Which of the following are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regenerating our town centres | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Our natural environment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New market and affordable homes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Our built environment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A prosperous economy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting health and wellbeing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adapting our high streets and retail areas | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tackling climate change |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Providing a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Providing the infrastructure that supports development |

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Regenerating our town centres

Please read [Section 4.1 'Regenerating our town centres'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Objective: Regenerate our town centres and network of vibrant communities

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regenerating our town centres | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vibrant local communities |
|---|---|

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Regenerating our town centres

We have three distinctive town centres with different characteristics, needs and opportunities.

Recommendation: We recognise that Bournemouth and Poole town centres have the most significant scope for regeneration and change. We propose that the vitality of each of these centres is supported through investment in visitor attractions, an increase in new homes and commercial development, with the encouragement of taller buildings in places, and through enhancements to streets and public spaces.

The scale of opportunity in Christchurch is more limited, but there is still scope for improvements to streets and spaces and the development of key sites around Stony Lane, subject to the resolution of flood risk issues.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation (in the box above) for regenerating our town centres?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have

any other comments that we should consider about regenerating our town centres.

There should be an absolute focus on enhancing the town centres of Bournemouth and Poole. In order to create vibrant communities, the council should look at reducing business rates to encourage smaller individual business to thrive, and a mix of residential usage. The current strategy for long term leases and disadvantageous business rates is deterring such progress. BCP could take advice from areas such as Bath, Brighton, Southbourne, Wimborne, Marlborough, Shaftesbury etc. where independent businesses thrive and run alongside major chains. BCP should encourage and promote entrepreneurship, business start ups etc. where there would be a good mix of interesting retailers which in turn would attract more people to the area for economic prosperity. Homelessness and rough sleeping must be seriously addressed, as this is an issue which is turning people away from our town centres due to feeling harassed and unsafe. The council should look towards rehoming people and undertaking a duty of care to remove them from the streets for their welfare and for the enhancement of the area. Housing requirements should be concentrated within the town centres, but WITHOUT the sacrifice of green belt for SANG. Any future SANG requirement should re-focus on the alternative sites previously identified (paragraph 86 in the Hicks Farm Planning Proposal Application No 7-2021-7824-C.) If high rise developments are to be incorporated, there must be adequate SANG green space allocated within walking distance - i.e., Meyrick Park and Queens Park, Horseshoe Common (for Bournemouth town centre development).

?

Which of the following areas are you interested in?

Select all that apply.

- Bournemouth Christchurch Poole

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following actions in our proposed strategy for Bournemouth?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Increasing the number of people living in the town centre by making more sites available for new homes	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Making significant investments in the Bournemouth International Centre and the seafront to boost the quality of the visitor attractions available	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Making stronger connections between different parts of the town centre, and from the main shopping and leisure areas to the seafront	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Supporting the development of new hotel stock by allowing poor hotels to more easily exit the market	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Developing the Smart City concept ensuring high quality digital infrastructure is available across the town centre	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Positively encouraging taller buildings in some areas to enhance our iconic skyline	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g) Embracing community-led and cultural initiatives that support regeneration.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h) Supporting the diversification of shops, allowing a wider range of commercial activities, and the reuse of upper floors for alternative uses, such as new homes	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i) Continuing to focus on enhancing walking, cycling and public transport, enabling the amount of surface public car parks to be reviewed and potentially considered for other uses, such as new homes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j) Enhancing the role of the Lansdowne as an area for employment and education	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative actions, or have any other comments that we should consider.

Please use the appropriate letter (a to j) to show which action you are referring to.

a) We do agree, any sites for new homes must be sourced within central Bournemouth. We would like to noted that these must meet the National minimum standard requirements. e) It is very important that the digital infrastructure incorporates outlying regions such as our Parish.g) to comply with the councils green strategy and net zero agenda these initiatives should be focussed within walking distance of the town centre i) There should be no further reduction in the number of car park spaces within the town centre. We encourage walking and cycling within our Parish, however this should not be to the detriment of the natural environment. We do not want to see intrusive/hard surface cycle super-highways within green belt land and there should be no further provision for car parking and a clear objective to severely restrict or reduce traffic movements within the Parish. BCP Council must not promote the Parish as a 'go-to' destination using any other method other than walking or cycling. The council should investigate funding for public transport to the Parish which is currently unavailable.

?

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following actions in our proposed strategy for Poole?

Select one box for each row.

- Strongly agree Agree Neither Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know

a) Increasing the number of people living in the town centre by making a number of sites available for housing	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Redeveloping the former power station site and support the regeneration of adjacent sites to create a vibrant new urban neighbourhood	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Allowing some taller buildings in the regeneration area and the area north of the railway line	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Creating a better sense of arrival into the centre, supporting improvements at Poole bus station, Poole railway station and around the Kingland Road area	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Working with Network Rail to resolve the ongoing concerns about the high street level crossing to see if it can be closed or made safer	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Considering reducing the size of the shopping area and create opportunities to introduce some residential uses into the high street	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g) Better connecting the Lighthouse within the rest of the town centre by reconfiguring or closing Kingland Road	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h) Refurbishing or replacing the Dolphin Leisure Centre to provide a fit-for-purpose leisure centre	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i) Preserving or enhancing the heritage areas, with a focus on the Quay and Old Town, through the Heritage Action Zone project	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j) Delivering strategic flood risk defences to protect the town centre from future flood risk	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
k) Embracing community-led and cultural initiatives that support regeneration	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
l) Enhancing wayfinding/signage to help improve connections between the Quay and the rest of the town centre	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative actions, or have any other comments that we should consider.

Please use the appropriate letter (a to l) to show which actions you are referring to.

?

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following actions in our proposed strategy for Christchurch?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Encouraging the redevelopment of key sites around Stony Lane to deliver new homes, if flood risk issues can be overcome	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Supporting the redevelopment of the Lanes (south of the high street) and Saxon Square with mixed use development	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Enhancing the pedestrian connections around the centre and across Fountain Roundabout	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Undertaking improvements to streets and public spaces	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Delivering strategic flood risk defences to protect the town from future flood risk	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Supporting improvements to the Two Riversmeet Leisure Complex	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g) Embracing community-led and cultural initiatives that support regeneration	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h) Enhancing wayfinding/signage to help improve connections between the waterfront areas and the rest of the town centre	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative actions, or have any other comments that we should consider.

Please use the appropriate letter (a to h) to show which actions you are referring to.

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole

Issues and options consultation

Issue: Vibrant local communities

Recommendation: We propose to support local communities by retaining existing open spaces, shops, services, and facilities, wherever possible. We would also encourage new commercial, health, cultural, educational and leisure facilities, alongside investments in streets and open spaces, within walking distance of people's homes. This would help to reduce the need to travel, encourage walking and cycling, promote health and wellbeing, and support community cohesion.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for vibrant local communities (in the box above)?
Select one box only.

- Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about vibrant local communities.

We understand the requirement for SANG allocations for new development, however these MUST be within walking of these new homes

?

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following aspects of our proposed strategy to support vibrant local communities?
Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Maintaining access for residents to basic local services and facilities within walking distance of their homes wherever possible	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Supporting our network of district centres, local centres, high streets and neighbourhood shopping parades by retaining them as a focal point for commercial activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Providing new homes within, or close to, existing centres	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Ensuring communities have access to open space and recreation facilities	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Providing safe, easy and accessible walking and cycle routes to access shopping areas, schools, community facilities and open spaces	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f) Embracing community-led and cultural initiatives that support local communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g) Supporting local food growing opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h) Providing advice to local communities who wish to develop neighbourhood plans in their areas	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i) A continued focus on the regeneration of Boscombe through the Towns Fund proposals	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about vibrant local communities.

Please use the appropriate letter (a to i) to show which aspect you are referring to.

b) Hicks Farm and within the conservation areas of Throop and Holdenhurst there should be no focal points of commercial activities. d) Recreational and open space should focus on sites within walking distance. d&f) Any future marketing by BCP Council must NOT promote the Parish environs as a 'destination' g) As a Parish we would like to propose that the historic conservation area of Muscliffe lane (Homes for Heroes specifically created for market gardens) should be fully restored. This is an excellent opportunity to support local food growing opportunities. Within the Throop Conservation area it is inappropriate to have any commercial activities situated at Hicks Farm.

?

Please tell us if you have any other comments about regenerating our town centres in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

New market and affordable homes

Please read [Section 4.2 'New market and affordable homes'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Objective: Provide a sufficient supply of new market and affordable homes to meet the different needs of our communities

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The number of homes we need | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Providing custom self build housing plots |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Where new homes could be built | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Providing homes of older people and those with disabilities |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How to provide affordable housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Student accommodation |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Providing the right mix and type of homes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pitches for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople |

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: The number of homes we need

The Government is committed to significantly boosting the supply of homes and require us to set out a housing requirement figure for our area.

The government's standard methodology for calculating housing need generates a housing need figure for the BCP area of a minimum of **2,667 homes per year**, or **42,672 homes to 2038**.

The standard methodology uses the 2014 based subnational housing projections (SNHP). The 2014 projections are used to provide stability for planning authorities and communities, ensure historic under delivery and declining affordability are factored into the calculation, and to be consistent with the government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of homes. However, we have identified some concerns with the data used in 2014 housing projections relating to the BCP area specifically. We have explored this within a [Housing Needs Sensitivity Report](#).

Since 2014 household projections were published, the Office for National Statistics has changed the way in which it estimates migration and population growth contained in its population estimates and projections. These were a key input into the 2014 SNHP.

We are considering an alternative model that takes the 2014 projections as a base but applies migration adjustments that are considered realistic given the exceptional changes in migration trends in the BCP area. The model initially generates a minimum housing need of approximately **1,600 homes a year**, or **25,600 homes to 2038**.

We want to meet housing needs that support our aspirations as a thriving and vibrant urban area. We will need to take forward one of the following options:

Option 1: Try to meet the number of homes identified as needed through the government's standard housing method of a minimum of 2,667 homes a year, or 42,672 homes to 2038

Option 2: Identify a lower locally derived housing need figure that deviates from the government's standard methodology but still reflects our aspirations for growth. Initial findings indicate this would be a minimum of 1,600 homes a year or 25,600 homes to 2038

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the each of the two options (outlined in the box above)?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about our housing needs and housing requirement.

Please use the appropriate letter (a to b) to show which aspect you are referring to.

Whilst Option 2 is a significant improvement to Option 1, there is still potential to reduce this further given the geographical location (coastal location, protected heathland, greenbelt, flood plains along the Stour and Avon). The number of residents is skewed due to the incorporation of student numbers within the statistics.

?

Issue: Where new homes could be built

We have identified a number of possible sites in the built-up area that could provide new homes, and other sites within the Green Belt have been suggested to us. All these sites are shown in the maps below and listed in Appendix 2 of the [consultation document](#). We could consider allocating any of these sites.

Please click on the links below to add comments to the maps.

We welcome your views on any of the 120 sites in the built-up area that have been identified on the interactive map at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/localplanurban. You can make detailed comments about these sites, or any other sites you have in mind on the interactive map.

A number of sites in the Green Belt have also been suggested to us by private land owners and site promoters. These are shown in the interactive map at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/localplangreen. You can make detailed comments about these sites, or other sites you have in mind on the interactive map.

Issue: How to provide affordable housing

Recommendation: To meet our demand for affordable housing, we will require a proportion of new homes on major sites to be affordable. This proportion may vary across the BCP area. We will set out the type or tenure of affordable housing and the circumstances where this should be provided on site, and where a payment towards affordable housing on major sites would be accepted.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for providing affordable housing?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about providing affordable housing.

?

Issue: Providing custom self-build housing plots

Recommendation: To make plots available for self-build housing we could require a proportion of plots on large, strategic housing sites to provide an area of self-build.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for providing custom self build housing plots?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about providing custom self-build housing plots?

?

Issue: Providing the right mix and type of homes

Recommendation: A mix of all housing types and sizes are needed across Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole. In order to provide flexibility, we would not propose to prescribe a set housing mix, apart from on large, strategic development sites over 40 homes.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for providing the right mix and type of homes?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about providing the right mix and type of homes.

There needs to be a housing mix on all developments above 10 homes to prevent the cluster of social housing ie replicating foamier council estates such as Townsend, West Howe, Turlin Moor etc.

?

Issue: Providing homes for older people and those with disabilities

Recommendation: All homes should meet Part M4(1) of the building regulations to be accessible. Given there is a high and growing proportion of older people, and a significant proportion of people with a long-term health problem or disability, in our area, we propose also that all homes should meet the M4(2) higher accessibility standards to provide homes to meet changing needs over time, and 10% of homes should achieve the M4(3) standard of being wheelchair accessible, subject to viability testing.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for providing homes for older people and those with disabilities?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about providing homes for older people and those with disabilities?

?

Issue: Student accommodation

Recommendation: We propose to direct purpose-built student accommodation into town centre locations and on campus sites. We also propose to restrict the concentration of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs).

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for student accomodation (in the box above)?

Select one box only.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about student accommodation.

?

Issue: Pitches for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople

If there is an identified need for permanent residential and/or transit pitches for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople we will have to think about how it can be met by one or a combination of the following options:

Option 1: Consider allocating site(s) within the urban area

Option 2: Consider if exceptional circumstances justify allocating site(s) within the Green Belt

Option 3: Rather than allocating sites, include a criteria-based policy against which to assess planning applications for permanent and/or transit sites

Option 4: Requiring pitches to be provided as part of larger, strategic sites

Option 5: Rather than allocating a transit site, consider alternative management approaches such as, providing unauthorised encampments with water, waste disposal and toilets

How strongly do you agree with each of the options for pitches for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople (outlined in the box above)?

Select one option for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Option 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Option 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e) Option 5	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about pitches for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople?

Please use a) to e) to show which option you are referring to.

We feel unable to comment further until the evidence has been produced identifying the required provision. However, we reserve the right comment once this has been published.

?

Please tell us any other comments about new market and affordable homes in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

?

**A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole
Issues and options consultation**

A prosperous economy

Please read [Section 4.3 'A prosperous economy'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Objective: Support economic growth, the creation of new jobs and the offer to visitors

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- Bringing forward strategic employment sites
- Protecting existing employment areas
- Isolated employment sites
- Visitor accommodation
- Visitor attractions

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Bringing forward strategic employment sites

Recommendation: We propose to continue to allocate Bournemouth Airport; Poole Port; Talbot Village; Wessex Fields; and Lansdowne as the key strategic employment sites.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation to continue to allocate the following strategic employment sites?

Select one box only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
Bournemouth Airport	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Poole Port	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Talbot Village	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Wessex Fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lansdowne	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about strategic employment sites.

Bournemouth Airport - there has already been significant growth surrounding the Bournemouth Airport site without the infrastructure to support this, proposed projects such as the Aqua Park has a serious impact on Green belt north of the river Stour which in turn impacts upon the Parish's of Hurn and Throop and Holdenhurst. In order to make this accessible for commuters, a proposed cycle super highway with hard-standing is detrimental to the environment and wildlife habitats. Talbot Village - Highmoor Farm and Talbot Heath should be protected from any further development ie private hospital/innovation park as this is an area of highly sensitive bio-diversity. Wessex Fields - we support restrictive developments of Wessex Fields east of A338 but without any future development of the A338 junction west side. Should the Stour Valley park proceed we recommend the identified gateway at Sheepwash becoming a major gateway having excellent transport interchange facilities with car parking and access of walking and cycling up the whole valley.

?

To meet employment needs we will need to allocate strategic sites for employment. These strategic sites have been identified in previous Local Plans and include Bournemouth Airport, the Port of Poole, Talbot Village, Wessex Fields and Lansdowne. These are shown on the interactive map at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/localplanstrategic. You can make detailed comments about these sites, or other sites you have in mind on the interactive map.

Issue: Protecting existing employment areas

Existing employment areas support various local businesses. We have various options on how we manage these areas in the future, we could explore one of these options:

Option 1: Continue to protect all existing employment areas for employment related use only (offices, research and development, and light industrial, general industrial and warehousing)

Option 2: Be more flexible and allow a wider range of uses in employment areas, including housing

Option 3: Identify specific employment areas that can be re-developed for housing

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the each of the options for protecting existing employment areas (outlined in the box above)?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Option 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about protecting existing employment areas.

Please use a), b) or c) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Our existing employment sites across BCP are shown on the interactive map at bccouncil.gov.uk/localplanemployment. You can make detailed comments about these sites on the interactive map.

Issue: Isolated employment sites

Employment sites, which are not part of a wider employment area, still contribute to our supply of employment land. We have various options for how we manage these areas in the future, we could explore one of these options:

Option 1: Continue to protect isolated employment sites for employment uses, requiring the site to be marketed for employment uses before allowing any change of use to occur, and exploring other uses that generate employment or health/care related development in the first instance

Option 2: Continue to protect isolated employment sites for employment uses, requiring the site to be marketed for employment uses before allowing any change of use to occur

Option 3: No longer protect isolated employment sites for employment and encourage re-development

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the each of the three options for isolated employment sites (outlined in the box above)?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Option 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about isolated employment sites.

Please use a), b) or c) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Issue: Visitor accommodation

Recommendation: We propose to prioritise central Bournemouth as a location for new hotel development. We would

seek to focus new hotel development in this area.

In relation to existing hotels, we could consider one of the following options:

Option 1: Resist the loss of hotels in specific zones within Bournemouth town centre and potentially within Christchurch and Poole town centres

Option 2: Resist the loss of hotels but support enabling residential development alongside hotel redevelopment

Option 3: Consider a more market driven approach that is more flexible to the loss of hotels

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the recommendation and each of the options for visitor accommodation (outlined in the box above)?

Select one box for each.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Recommendation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
b) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
c) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
d) Option 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about visitor accommodation.

Please use a) to d) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Issue: Visitor attractions

Some sites have been suggested for new visitor attractions. These sites are outside of our town centres in the Green Belt. We need to decide if these sites should be included in the Local Plan. We could consider one of the following options:

Option 1: Explore if exceptional circumstances exist, which would allow us to allocate some of these sites

Option 2: Continue to encourage new visitor attractions to be focused within our existing centres

How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the two options for visitor attractions (in the box above)?

Select one box for each.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about visitor attractions.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

We do not support the development of the Aqua Lagoon at Hurn - it is against the Hurn Local Plan and would have a detrimental impact upon the green belt and wildlife habitats. The Hurn Parish borders the Throop and Holdenhurst Parish, sharing the Stour Valley water meadows which is a highly sensitive and richly bio-diverse area. Within our Parish Hicks Farm has been identified as a major gateway to the proposed Stour Valley Park. CS36 states a demonstration farm is to be situated at Hicks Farm Muscliffe and by default this excludes the farmstead and associated buildings at Hick Farm Throop which lies in the conservation area.

?

The sites promoted to us for leisure and visitor attractions around Hurn are shown on the interactive map at bpcouncil.gov.uk/localplanleisure. You can make detailed comments about these sites on the interactive map.

Please tell us any other comments about a **prosperous economy** in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Adapting our high streets and retail areas

Objective: Adapt our high streets and shopping areas to cater for changing retail demands

Please read [Section 4.4 'Adapting our high streets and retail areas'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Our needs for shopping and other town centre uses | <input type="checkbox"/> Sequential tests and impact assessments |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Town centre boundaries and primary shopping areas | <input type="checkbox"/> Vibrant centres |

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Please tell us any other comments about **adapting our high streets and retail areas** in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Providing a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network

Objective: Provide a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network, with a step change in active travel behaviour, ensuring the necessary transport infrastructure is in place to make it easy for everyone to get around

Please read [Section 4.5 'Providing a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Our future transport strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transport impacts from new development |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transport infrastructure | |

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Our future transport strategy

Recommendation: We will need to include a transport strategy in the Local Plan and this will be focused on providing a safe, connected, accessible and low carbon transport network across Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole and south-east Dorset, which seeks as appropriate to:

- a) direct new development to the most sustainable, and accessible locations to reduce the need to travel and maximise non-car travel
- b) improve cycling and walking routes and facilities
- c) improve bus and rail services
- d) investigate opportunities for innovative mass transport schemes
- e) apply traffic management measures to improve safety for all road users
- f) maximise opportunities to increase rail freight transport to and from Poole Port
- g) explore park and ride opportunities
- h) identify transport infrastructure requirements to deliver the Local Plan development allocations
- i) improve cycling and public transport connections to the airport and its business parks
- j) provide adequate public car parking provision and electric vehicle charging points
- k) improve air quality.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation (see the box above) for our future transport strategy?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can you suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about our future transport strategy.

Please use a) to k) to show which aspects of the transport strategy (in the blue box above) you are referring to.

Due to the complexity of the recommendation we do not feel there is sufficient information provided to give a full detailed response. With regards to our Parish we would like to see a full detailed traffic assessment and transport strategy for the area north of Castle Lane before we can comment any further

?

Issue: Transport infrastructure

Recommendation: We propose to set out strategic transport schemes, identifying and protecting routes and sites which could be critical to delivering transport infrastructure and widening transport choice.

This would be in addition to the current strategic transport schemes outlined below which could also be expanded as the Local Plan develops.

Current strategic transport schemes that are likely to come forward over the Plan period include:

- a) investigating the potential for a package of park and ride sites in the conurbation
- b) a range of sustainable travel corridors and travel improvements
- c) bus infrastructure improvements - new bus stops, lighting, 'smart' traffic, smart ticketing, bus interchange improvements and improved real-time information
- d) new local travel app
- e) road / junction improvements
- f) cycle facilities for businesses, schools, colleges and universities
- g) improved pavement access for people with mobility needs
- h) upgraded wayfinding (information and signs)
- i) introduction of e-bikes
- j) improvements to the rail network and rail station provision.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation (see the box above) for delivering transport infrastructure?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree



Agree



Neither agree nor disagree



Disagree



Strongly disagree



Don't know



Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about our transport infrastructure.

Please use a) to j) to show which option you are referring to.

Due to the complexity of the recommendation we do not feel there is sufficient information provided to give a full detailed response. With regards to our Parish we would like to see the implications of the above transport schemes before we can make a detailed response.

?

Issue: Transport impacts from new development

Recommendation: When submitting planning applications, we propose that developers consider a range of transport requirements including:

- a) Transport Assessment
- b) Travel Plans
- c) parking provision in line with the BCP Parking Standards ([SPD](#))
- d) mitigation measures to address traffic/ safety/ congestion
- e) the provision of safe and convenient access points
- f) electric charging points
- g) bike and other vehicle storage
- h) making green vehicle technologies available
- i) safety for all users
- j) developer contribution (to transport modelling or strategic improvements)
- k) air quality mitigation
- l) highway works
- m) walking and cycling improvements.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for considering transport impacts from new development (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree



Agree



Neither agree nor disagree



Disagree



Strongly disagree



Don't know



Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about transport impacts from new development.

Please use a) to m) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Please tell us any other comments about providing a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Our natural environment

Objective: Conserve and enhance our protected habitats and biodiversity, and our network of green infrastructure and open space

Please read [Section 4.6 'Our natural environment'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conserving and enhancing biodiversity and geodiversity | <input type="checkbox"/> Dealing with Poole Harbour Recreational Pressures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protecting Dorset Heathlands and mitigating development impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dealing with Poole Harbour Nitrate Pollution |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provision of strategic Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supporting green infrastructure and open space |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improving the air quality on the Dorset Heathlands | |

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Conserving and enhancing biodiversity and geodiversity

Recommendation: We propose to fulfil our duty to conserve and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity by including appropriate policies in the Local Plan.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for conserving and enhancing biodiversity and geodiversity (see the box above) ?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree



Agree



Neither agree nor disagree



Disagree



Strongly disagree



Don't know



Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about conserving and enhancing biodiversity and geodiversity.

?

Issue: Protecting Dorset Heathlands and mitigating development impacts

Recommendation: We propose continuing to implement the approach advocated in the existing Local Plan policies and detailed in the [Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework Supplementary Planning Document](#). We can explore the potential for other approaches that would still fulfil the council's duty to ensure mitigation of harmful impacts on the Dorset Heathlands from new development.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for protecting Dorset Heathlands and mitigating development impacts (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree



Agree



Neither agree nor disagree



Disagree



Strongly disagree



Don't know



Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about protecting Dorset Heathlands and mitigating development impacts.

As a Parish in principle we support the Dorset Heathland planning framework, however we do not support mitigation that creates negative impacts on other highly sensitive areas. Nick Dobbs info to go here.....

?

Issue: Provision of strategic Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs)

Recommendation: We propose to continue the current approach to the provision of strategic SANGs through both public and private SANGs, including the continued emergence of SANGs within the [Stour Valley Park](#).

We could also explore:

Option 1: Changing the use and/or management of some of our existing open spaces e.g. golf courses, to provide strategic SANGs.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the two options for the provision of strategic SANGs?

Select one box only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Recommendation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 1	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about the provision of strategic SANGs.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

As a Parish we agree with the current approach for the provision of strategic SANGs, however these should not be positioned within the Stour Valley Park which would be detrimental to the environment and in opposition to BCP's 2050 climate action plan. We strongly agree to the change of use of our existing open spaces e.g. golf courses to provide strategic SANGs which will be within walking distance of the proposed urban developments.

?

Issue: Improving the air quality on the Dorset Heathlands

Recommendation: We propose to implement the [Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Strategy](#) up to 2025, and then align projects to new policies in both the BCP Local Plan and the Dorset Local Plan.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for improving the air quality on the Dorset Heathlands (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about improving the air quality on the Dorset Heathlands.

We agree in principle, however the sensitive low valley water meadows within the local Parish's should be given equal status to that of the Heathland infrastructure projects as part of the Interim Air Quality Strategy.

?

Issue: Supporting green infrastructure and open space

Recommendation: We propose to maintain and expand the Green Infrastructure Network, including the creation of the Stour Valley Park, protecting existing open spaces and enhancing their appeal to more people, connecting green spaces for people and wildlife and greening the urban area.

In addition, for open spaces we could consider a combination of the following options:

Option 1: Allowing the loss of the open space, if it can be demonstrated that it is underused and surplus to requirements

Option 2: Allowing the loss of open space for community uses that outweigh the loss of the open space

Option 3: Making new developments pay financial contributions towards enhancing or providing alternative open space if they cannot provide open space on site

How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the options for supporting green infrastructure and open space?

Select one box only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Recommendation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d) Option 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about supporting green infrastructure and open space.

Please use a) to d) to show which option you are referring to.

BCP sees every piece of green space as a possible target for human leisure activities. If BCP is serious about Geo and Bio diversity all of this should be turned on its head. Nick Dobbs statement - SF

?

Please tell us any other comments about the natural environment in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Our built environment

Objective: Promote local character and the delivery of high-quality urban design

Please read [Section 4.7 'Our built environment'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ensuring good placemaking and urban design | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Preserving and enhancing our heritage |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Planning for urban intensification | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Preserving coastal and landscape character |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tall Buildings | |

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Preserving and enhancing our heritage

We have to preserve and enhance heritage assets as required by government and would set out a positive strategy for heritage.

In addition, we could consider one or both of the following options:

Option 1: Consider the introduction of special controls that prevent the demolition of non-designated, locally important heritage assets

Option 2: Undertake a comprehensive review through Conservation Area Appraisals to ensure the designations remain fit-for-purpose

**How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the two options for preserving and enhancing our heritage?
Select one box only.**

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about preserving and enhancing our heritage.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

There should be no compromise or dilution of the existing green belt and conservation areas within the BCP area.

?

Our heritage conservation areas are shown on the interactive map at bcpcouncil.gov.uk/localplanconservation. You can make detailed comments about these areas on the interactive map.

Issue: Preserving coastal and landscape character

Recommendation: We want to preserve the character of our locally-valued coastal and countryside areas and propose to set out policies in the Local Plan to achieve this. Landscape Visual Impacts Assessments will be used to help to assess proposals.

Option 1: We could consider a specific policy in coastal areas to ensure development does not dominate or detract from views of the cliffs

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the recommendation and option for preserving coastal and landscape character (shown in the box above)?

Select one box only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Recommendation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about preserving coastal and landscape character.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

As a Parish we request that BCP update, or provide, a Landscape Character Area Assessment for the Throop and Holdenhurst village areas. We have a unique riverside landscape setting which must not be compromised. The existing character of our area is under threat from various policies scheduled by BCP council and we do not believe adequate attention has been paid to the Landscape Visual Impact Assessment and the sensitivity of this special area.

?

Please tell us any other comments about our built environment in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

Throop and Holdenhurst Parish council contains the largest collection of listed buildings in BCP and therefore the historical context in which they lie should be preserved and maintained. St Johns Church and its Parish is the mother of Bournemouth. Muccleshell, Throop and Holdenhurst have important heritage values that pre-date the creation of Bournemouth and therefore should be preserved under exceptional circumstances. The Home for Heroes on Muscliffe Lane are currently not identified as listed buildings, however they are crucial elements for conservation listing and bear significant historical importance to the conservation area and BCP. As a Parish council we would like to see greater attention paid to the restoration and conservation of several important listed buildings within the Parish that are fast deteriorating including those under the ownership of BCP Council itself.

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Promoting health and wellbeing

Objective: Improve health and wellbeing and contribute towards reducing inequalities

Please read [Section 4.8 'Promoting health and wellbeing'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

Supporting health and wellbeing

Ensuring a high standard of amenity

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Supporting health and wellbeing

Recommendation: We propose to set out a specific policy in the Local Plan to support health and wellbeing, and require that certain developments prepare a Health Impact Assessment (HIA). The size and type of development would determine the focus of the HIA and for smaller developments we propose applicants use the [NHS Rapid Health Impact Assessment Tool](#) to assess potential health impacts.

Option 1: In addition, we could explore introducing a specific financial contribution from new developments towards health infrastructure

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation and option for supporting health and wellbeing (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Recommendation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about supporting health and wellbeing.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Issue: Ensuring a high standard of amenity

Recommendation: We want to support a high standard of amenity for existing residents and future occupiers of new homes considering levels of sunlight and daylight, privacy, emissions, noise/vibration and whether a development is overbearing or oppressive.

There are some additional options we could explore:

Option 1: Setting internal space standards for new residential development inline with [nationally described space standards](#)

Option 2: Setting standards for external space on residential development for flats

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the recommendation and two options for ensuring a high standard of amenity?

Select one box only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Recommendation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- b) Option 1
- c) Option 2

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about ensuring a high standard of amenity?

Please use a), b) or c) to show which option you are referring to.

Please tell us any other comments about health and wellbeing in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

Not all green space should be focused as suitable for human leisure activities

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Tackling climate change

Objective: Work towards achieving carbon neutrality ahead of 2050 and inspire action to combat the climate and ecological emergency

Please read [Section 4.9 'Tackling climate change'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- Ensuring new buildings will be built to reduce their energy use and minimise carbon emissions
- Maximising the uptake of energy from renewable sources
- Flood and coastal erosion risk management

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Ensuring new buildings will be built to reduce their energy use and minimise carbon emissions

Building regulations (Part L) sets out energy efficiency requirements and CO2 target emission rates for new buildings. [New changes to Part L](#) have recently been made introducing a timeline towards 'zero carbon ready' homes and buildings by 2025.

We could consider either one of the following options:

Option 1: Allow new development to comply with the national building regulation (Part L) requirements

Option 2: Set a higher local standard beyond the building regulations (Part L) requirements

How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the two options for ensuring new developments will be built to reduce their energy use and minimise carbon emissions?

Select one box for each row.

- | | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| a) Option 1 | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

b) Option 2

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about ensuring new developments will be built to reduce their energy use and minimise carbon emissions.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Issue: Maximising the uptake of energy from renewable sources

To maximise the uptake of energy from renewable sources we could consider one, or both, of the following options:

Option 1: Consider allocating specific areas for delivering large scale renewable/low carbon technologies and associated infrastructure

Option 2: Determine renewable and low carbon energy proposals, subject to policy criteria for example, respecting landscape quality and residential amenity

How strongly do you agree or disagree with each of the two options for maximising the uptake of energy from renewable sources?

Select one box for each row.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
a) Option 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b) Option 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about maximising the uptake of energy from renewable sources.

Please use a) or b) to show which option you are referring to.

?

Issue: Flood and coastal erosion risk management

Recommendation: In line with government guidance, we propose to direct the majority of development to areas of lowest flood risk. If any development has to take place in an area at risk of flooding because there is no alternative location, we will ensure that appropriate flood risk alleviation measures are provided. We also propose to require the provision of sustainable drainage systems in new developments.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for flood and coastal erosion risk management (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about flood and coastal erosion risk management.

?

Please tell us any other comments about tackling climate change in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Providing infrastructure that supports development

Objective: Deliver the infrastructure needed to support development

Please read [Section 4.10 'Providing infrastructure that supports development'](#) of the consultation document before answering the questions in this section.

Which of the following issues are you interested in giving your views about?

Select all that apply

- Delivering the infrastructure to support growth Telecommunications and digital infrastructure provision
 Balancing the delivery of infrastructure with viable development Community facilities and services

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Issue: Delivering the infrastructure to support growth

Recommendation: We propose to work with infrastructure providers and funding bodies to develop an Infrastructure Delivery Plan to sit alongside the Local Plan and continue to fund infrastructure from developer contributions.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for delivering the infrastructure to support growth (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

- Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about delivering the infrastructure to support growth.

Issue: Balancing the delivery of infrastructure with viable development

Recommendation: Where an applicant sets out that a proposal would not be viable if it were compliant with all the policies that will be in the Local Plan, we propose to require the submission of a Viability Assessment. We would use the assessment to calculate the contributions applicant can make towards infrastructure. We will continue to require the independent scrutiny of submitted viability assessments and will consider introducing measures to strengthen that process and improve transparency.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for balancing the delivery of infrastructure with viable development (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

- Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about balancing the delivery of infrastructure with viable development.

?

Issue: Telecommunications and digital infrastructure provision

Recommendation: We propose to support proposals for telecommunications or radio equipment where they have an acceptable visual impact on the locality.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for telecommunications and digital infrastructure provision (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about telecommunications and digital infrastructure provision.

?

Issue: Community facilities and services

Recommendation: We propose to protect existing community facilities and services, unless it can be demonstrated that they are no longer needed or can be provided elsewhere in an accessible location to serve that community.

How strongly do you agree or disagree with our recommendation for community facilities and services (see the box above)?

Select one box only.

Strongly agree

Agree

Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree

Strongly disagree

Don't know

Please explain your answers in the box below and let us know if you can suggest any alternative approaches, or have any other comments that we should consider about community facilities and services.

?

Please tell us any other comments about providing infrastructure that supports development in the Local Plan, including any other issues or ideas you feel that we should consider.

?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Other Comments and Suggestions

Finally, do you have any other issues, comments or suggestions that we should consider for the Local Plan?

It would help if you could use the appropriate letter a) to j) to show us which of the objective/s you are referring to in giving your response.

- a) Regenerating our town centres
- b) New market and affordable homes
- c) A prosperous economy
- d) Adapting our high streets and retail areas

- e) Providing a safe, sustainable and convenient transport network
- f) Our natural environment
- g) Our built environment
- h) Health and wellbeing
- i) Tackling climate change
- j) Providing the infrastructure that supports development

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

Considering Equalities and Human Rights

BCP Council has a duty to take into account the impact of their decisions on human rights and protected groups (age, disability, sex, gender reassignment/gender, marriage and civil partnerships, pregnancy, maternity, race religion or belief, and sexual orientation) as defined under the Equality Act 2010.

Are there any positive or negative impacts of the recommendations and options in this consultation that you believe BCP Council should take into account in relation to equalities or human rights? If so, are you able to provide any supporting information and suggest any ways in which the organisation could reduce or remove any potential negative impacts and increase any positive impacts?

A new Local Plan for Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Issues and options consultation

If you want to be kept informed of the progress of the Local Plan, please use the link below to add your contact details to our [planning policy consultation database](#). Please note you must be 18 years old or over to sign up.

You can unsubscribe at any time and your email address will not be used for any other purposes.

**Thank you for taking part in this survey.
Please click submit below to send your responses.**